arXiv:1610.01848v1 [math.RA] 6 Oct 2016

AUTOMORPHISMS FOR SOME "SYMMETRIC" MULTIPARAMETER QUANTIZED WEYL ALGEBRAS AND THEIR LOCALIZATIONS

XIN TANG

To Professor Yingbo Zhang on the occasion of her 70th birthday

Abstract. In this paper, we study the algebra automorphisms and isomorphisms for a family of "symmetric" multiparameter quantized Weyl algebras $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ and some related algebras in the generic case. First, we compute the Nakayama automorphism for $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ and give a necessary and sufficient condition for $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ to be Calabi-Yau. We also prove that $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ is cancellative. Then we determine the automorphism group for $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ and its polynomial extension $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}[t])$. As an application, we solve the isomorphism problem for $\{\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})\}$ and $\{\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}[t])\}$. Similar results will be established for the Maltisiniotis multiparameter quantized Weyl algebra $A_n^{\overline{q},\Gamma}(\mathbb{K})$ and its polynomial extension $A_n^{\overline{q},\Gamma}(\mathbb{K}[t])$. In addition, we prove a quantum analogue of the Dixmier conjecture for a simple localization $(\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}))_{\mathcal{Z}}$ of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$. Moreover, we will completely determine the algebra automorphism group for $(\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}))_{\mathcal{Z}}$.

Introduction

Ever since it was introduced by Maltisiniotis in [26], the multiparameter quantized Weyl algebra $A_n^{\overline{q},\Gamma}(\mathbb{K})$ has been extensively studied in the literature [2, 14, 17, 12, 20, 32]. When $n = 1$, $A_n^{\overline{q},\Gamma}(\mathbb{K})$ is the rank-one quantized Weyl algebra $A_1^q(\mathbb{K})$. Recall that $A_1^q(\mathbb{K})$ is a $\mathbb{K}-$algebra generated by x, y subject to the relation $xy - qyx = 1$, whose prime ideals of $A_1^q(\mathbb{K})$ were classified in [17]. The automorphism group of $A_1^q(\mathbb{K})$ was completely determined in [2]. There has been further research on the Maltisiniotis multiparameter quantized Weyl algebras $A_n^{\overline{q},\Gamma}(\mathbb{K})$ of

Date: October 7, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 16W20, 16W25, 16T20, 17B37, 16E40, 16S36; Secondary 16E65.

Key words and phrases. Multiparameter Quantized Weyl Algebras, Algebra Automorphisms, Isomorphism Problem, Quantum Dixmier Conjecture.

This research is partially supported by a research mini-grant funded by the HBCU STEM Master's Program at Fayetteville State University.

higher ranks. For instance, Rigal determined the prime spectrum and the automorphism group for $A_n^{\overline{q},\Gamma}(\mathbb{K})$ in the generic case [32]. Later on, Rigal's result has been improved by Goodearl and Hartwig in [18], using a result due to Jordan [20] that $A_n^{\overline{q},\Gamma}(\mathbb{K})$ has a simple localization when none of q_i is a root of unity. As an application, they have further solved the isomorphism problem for the family of Maltsiniotis multiparameter quantized Weyl algebras $\{A_n^{\overline{q},\Gamma}(\mathbb{K})\}$.

Another family of multiparameter quantized Weyl algebras $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ with symmetric relations has been investigated in the literature [1]. We will refer to this family of algebras as the multiparameter quantized Weyl algebras of "symmetric type" or "symmetric" multiparameter quantized Weyl algebras. When $n = 1$, the multiparameter quantized Weyl algebra $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ is also isomorphic to the rank-one quantized Weyl algebra $A_1^q(\mathbb{K})$. Note that $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ and $A_n^{\overline{q},\Gamma}(\mathbb{K})$ are closely related in many aspects. In particular, it was proved in [20, 1] that $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ and $A_n^{\overline{q},\Gamma}(\mathbb{K})$ have isomorphic simple localizations if none of the major parameters $q_1, \cdots, q_n$ is a root of unity. The prime ideals of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ were classified in [1] in the generic case. When $\lambda_{ij} = 1$, the algebra $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ is isomorphic to the tensor product $A_1^{q_1}(\mathbb{K})_{\mathbb{K}} \otimes \cdots \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A_1^{q_n}(\mathbb{K})$, whose automorphism group has recently been settled in the works [8, 9] for $q_i \neq 1$, using the methods of discriminants and Mod p.

Since $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ can be presented as an iterated skew polynomial algebra, $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ is a twisted (or skew) Calabi-Yau algebra by the result in [25]. Thus, it is of interest to further determine when $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ is indeed Calabi-Yau [15]. We will compute the Nakayama automorphism for $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ using the methods as developed in [25, 19] and establish a necessary and sufficient condition for $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ to be a Calabi-Yau algebra. We will also prove that $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ is universally cancellative when none of q_i is a root of unity in the sense of [6]. Similar results will be established for the Maltisiniotis multiparameter quantized Weyl algebra $A_n^{\overline{q},\Gamma}(\mathbb{K})$.

The algebra $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ also fits into the class of generalized Wey algebras [4]. The isomorphism problem for some rank-one generalized Weyl algebras has been studied in [5, 31]. In general, it would be interesting to determine the automorphism group for $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ and obtain an isomorphism classification for the family $\{\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})\}$. One of the main objectives of this paper is to completely determine the algebra automorphism group for $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ and solve the isomorphism problem in the case where none of $q_1, \cdots, q_n$ is a root of unity. We will use the fact that $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ has a simple localization $(\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}))_{\mathcal{Z}}$ with respect to the submonoid $\mathcal{Z}$ of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ generated by some normal elements. We

will also study the automorphisms and solve the isomorphism problem for the polynomial extensions $\{\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}[t])\}$ and $\{A_n^{\overline{q},\Gamma}(\mathbb{K}[t])\}$. Since we don't put any conditions on the parameters λ_{ij} and γ_{ij}, we are not able to employ the method used in [9], due to the lack of information on the discriminants in the root of unity case, despite the recent progress in [10, 13, 24, 28]. We will follow the approach used [32] and [18] in the non-root of unity case.

When $q = 1$ and $n = 1$, both $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ and $A_n^{\overline{q},\Gamma}(\mathbb{K})$ are indeed isomorphic to the classical first Weyl algebra $A_1(\mathbb{K})$, which is generated by x, y subject to the relation $xy - yx = 1$. The automorphism group of $A_1(\mathbb{K})$ was first determined by Dixmier in [11], where Dixmier also asked the question whether each $\mathbb{K}-$algebra endomorphism of the $n-$th Weyl algebra $A_n(\mathbb{K})$ is an algebra automorphism when the base field $\mathbb{K}$ is of zero characteristic. Later on, Dixmier's question has been referred to as the Dixmier conjecture. The Dixmier conjecture has been proved to be stably equivalent to the Jacobian conjecture [21, 7, 34]. There have been several works studying a quantum analogue of the Dixmier conjecture for some quantum algebras [3, 30, 22, 23, 33]. In particular, it has recently been proved in [23] that each $\mathbb{K}-$algebra endomorphism of a simple localization of $A_1^q(\mathbb{K})_{\mathbb{K}} \otimes \cdots \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A_1^q(\mathbb{K})$ is indeed an algebra automorphism when q is not a root of unity. It would be of great interest to establish such a quantum analogue for the simple localization $(\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}))_{\mathcal{Z}}$ in the generic case. Another main objective of this paper is to address such a problem. We will prove that each $\mathbb{K}-$algebra endomorphism of $(\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}))_{\mathcal{Z}}$ is indeed an algebra automorphism under the condition that the parameters q_i are independent. We will be able to determine the $\mathbb{K}-$algebra automorphism group for $(\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}))_{\mathcal{Z}}$ in this case.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 1, we recall the definition of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ and establish some of its basic properties. Then we determine the height-one prime ideals, the normal elements and the center of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$. As an application, we prove that $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ is universally cancellative. We also compute the Nakayama automorphism of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$. Similar results will be established for $A_n^{\overline{q},\Gamma}(\mathbb{K})$ as well. In Section 2, we completely determine the automorphism group for $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ and solve the isomorphism problem. We also study the automorphism group and the isomorphism problem for $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}[t])$ and $A_n^{\overline{q},\Gamma}(\mathbb{K}[t])$. In Section 3, we prove a quantum analogue of the Dixmier conjecture for $(\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}))_{\mathcal{Z}}$ and describe its automorphism group.

1. Multiparameter Quantized Weyl Algebras of "Symmetric Type"

In this section, we first recall the definitions of the Maltisiniotis multiparameter quantized Weyl algebra $A_n^{\overline{q},\Gamma}(\mathbb{K})$ and the "symmetric" multiparameter quantized Weyl algebra $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$. Then we will establish some basic properties for $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$. In particular, we will determine all the height-one prime ideals of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$, and describe the normal elements and the center for $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ in the case where none of q_i is a root of unity. As an application, we show that $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ is universally cancellative. We will also verify that $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ is a twisted Calabi-Yau algebra, and compute its Nakayama automorphism, and determine when $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ is a Calabi-Yau algebra. Similar results will be established for the Maltsiniotis multiparameter quantized Weyl algebra $A_n^{\overline{q},\Gamma}(\mathbb{K})$ as well.

Let $\mathbb{K}$ denote a field and n be any positive integer and set $\overline{q} = (q_1, \cdots, q_n)$ with $q_i \in \mathbb{K}^*$ for $i = 1, \cdots, n$. Let $\Gamma = (\gamma_{ij})$ be a multiplicatively skew-symmetric $n \times n$ matrix with $\gamma_{ij} \in \mathbb{K}^*$. Recall that the Maltisiniotis multiparameter quantized Weyl algebra $A_n^{\overline{q},\Gamma}(\mathbb{K})$ is defined to be a $\mathbb{K}-$algebra generated by $x_1, y_1, \cdots, x_n, y_n$ subject to the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
y_i y_j &= \gamma_{ij} y_j y_i, \quad \forall i, j \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n\};\\
x_i x_j &= q_i \gamma_{ij} x_j x_i, \quad \forall 1 \leq i < j \leq n;\\
x_i y_j &= \gamma_{ji} y_j x_i, \quad \forall 1 \leq i < j \leq n;\\
x_i y_j &= q_i \gamma_{ji} y_j x_i, \quad \forall 1 \leq j < i \leq n;\\
x_i y_i - q_i y_i x_i &= 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} (q_k - 1) y_k x_k, i = 1, \cdots, n.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we recall the definition of the so-called alternative (or "symmetric") multiparameter quantized Weyl algebra $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ from [1].

Definition 1.1. Let $\overline{q} = (q_1, \cdots, q_n)$ with $q_i \in \mathbb{K}^*$ and $\Lambda = (\lambda_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$ be a square matrix in $M_n(\mathbb{K}^*)$ with $\lambda_{ij} = \lambda_{ji}^{-1}$ and $\lambda_{ii} = 1$. The multiparameter quantized Weyl algebra $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ of "symmetric type" is defined to be a $\mathbb{K}-$algebra generated by $x_1, y_1, \cdots, x_n, y_n$ subject to the relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
x_i x_j = \lambda_{ij} x_j x_i, \quad y_j y_i = \lambda_{ji} y_i y_j, \quad \forall 1 \leq i < j \leq n;\\
x_i y_j = \lambda_{ji} y_j x_i, \quad x_j y_i = \lambda_{ij} y_i x_j, \quad \forall 1 \leq i < j \leq n;\\
x_i y_i - q_i y_i x_i = 1, \qquad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n.
\end{aligned}$$

□

As we can see, the algebra $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ has more symmetric relations compared with the Maltisiniotis multiparameter quantized Weyl algebra $A_n^{\overline{q},\Gamma}(\mathbb{K})$. Next we recall a few well-known results for $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$. First of all, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 1.1. *The algebra $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ is a Noetherian domain with a Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of $2n$. Indeed, it has a $\mathbb{K}-$basis given as follows:*

$$\mathcal{B} = \{y_1^{a_1}x_1^{b_1}\cdots y_n^{a_n}x_n^{b_n} | a_1, b_1, \cdots, a_n, b_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}.$$

Proof: From the definition of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$, it is easy to see that $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ can be presented as an iterated skew polynomial algebra as follows:

$$\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}) = \mathbb{K}[y_1][x_1;\tau_2,\delta_2][y_2;\tau_3,\tau_4,\delta_4]\cdots[y_n;\tau_{2n-1},\delta_{2n-1}][x_n;\tau_{2n},\delta_{2n}].$$

As a result, $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ is a (both left and right) Noetherian domain and $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ has a Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of $2n$. It also has the $\mathbb{K}-$basis as stated. □

Let D be any ring and $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \cdots, \sigma_n)$ be a set of commuting automorphisms of D and $a = (a_1, \cdots, a_n)$ be a set of (non-zero) elements in the center of D such that $\sigma_i(a_j) = a_j$ for $i \neq j$. The study of the *generalized Weyl algebra* $A = D(\sigma, a)$ of degree n over the base ring D was initiated by Bavula. For the detailed definition of generalized Weyl algebras and their properties, we refer the readers to [4] and the references therein. Next, we show that $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ can be realized as a generalized Weyl algebra.

For $i = 1, \cdots, n$, let us set $z_i = x_iy_i - y_ix_i$. It is easy to verify that

$$z_i = 1 + (q_i - 1)y_ix_i = q_i^{-1}(1 + (q_i - 1)x_iy_i)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} z_jy_i = y_iz_j \text{ if } i \neq j, \qquad & z_jy_j = q_jy_jz_j; \\ z_jx_i = x_iz_j \text{ if } i \neq j, \qquad & z_jx_j = q_j^{-1}x_jz_j; \\ & z_iz_j = z_jz_i. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, each z_i is a normal element of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ in the sense that $z_i\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}) = \mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})z_i$ for $i = 1, \cdots, n$.

Proposition 1.2. *The algebra $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ can be presented as a generalized Weyl algebra of degree n. As a result, $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ has a $\mathbb{K}-$basis $\mathcal{B}$ consisting of elements which are monomials in x_i, y_i, z_i given as follows:*

$$\mathcal{B} = \{z_1^{a_1}\cdots z_n^{a_n}x_1^{b_1}\cdots x_n^{b_n}, z_1^{a_1}\cdots z_n^{a_n}y_1^{c_1}\cdots y_n^{c_n} \mid a_i, b_i, c_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}.$$

Proof: We can set the base ring as $D = \mathbb{K}[z_1, \cdots, z_n]$, which is the polynomial $\mathbb{K}-$algebra in $z_1, \cdots, z_n$. In addition, for $i = 1, \cdots, n$,

we can choose $a_i = \frac{z_i - 1}{q_i - 1}$ and define σ_i by $\sigma_i(z_j) = q_i^{\delta_{ij}} z_j$ for $j = 1, \cdots, n$. Now it is straightforward to check that $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}) = D(\sigma, a)$ is a generalized Weyl algebra of degree n over the base ring D. By a basic property of the generalized Weyl algebras [4], we can see that $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ has the desired $\mathbb{K}-$basis. □

Let $\mathcal{Z}$ denote the submonoid of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ generated by the normal elements $z_1, \cdots, z_n$. Obviously, $\mathcal{Z}$ is an Ore set. Thus we can localize $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ with respect to $\mathcal{Z}$. Let $(\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}))_{\mathcal{Z}}$ denote the localization of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ with respect to $\mathcal{Z}$. Similarly, one can set $z_i' = x_i y_i - y_i x_i$ in $A_n^{\overline{q},\Gamma}(\mathbb{K})$ for $i = 1, \cdots, n$ and denote by $\mathcal{Z}'$ the submonoid of $A_n^{\overline{q},\Gamma}(\mathbb{K})$ generated by $z_1', \cdots, z_n'$. The following result has been established in [1].

Theorem 1.1. *If none of q_i is a root of unity, then the localization $(\mathcal{A}_{n,\mathbb{K}}^{Q,\Lambda})_{\mathcal{Z}}$ is a simple algebra.*

Proof: Note that it has been proved in [1] that the localization $(\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}))_{\mathcal{Z}}$ of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ is isomorphic to the corresponding localization $(A_n^{\overline{q},\Gamma}(\mathbb{K}))_{\mathcal{Z}'}$ of the Maltsiniotis quantized Weyl algebra $A_n^{\overline{q},\Gamma}(\mathbb{K})$. By **Theorem 3.2** in [20], the localization $(A_n^{\overline{q},\Gamma}(\mathbb{K}))_{\mathcal{Z}'}$ is a simple algebra when none of q_i is a root of unity. Thus, the result follows. □

The prime ideals of the "symmetric" multiparameter quantized Weyl algebra $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ were completely determined by Akhavizadgan and Jordan in [1] under certain conditions on the parameters q_i and λ_{ij} in the case where $\mathbb{K}$ is algebraically closed. However, these conditions are only needed for describing the complete prime spectrum of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$. As we can see, one can still obtain a complete classification of the height-one prime ideals for the algebra $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ under the weaker condition that none of q_i is a root of unity and $\mathbb{K}$ is any field.

Theorem 1.2. *If none of q_i is a root of unity, then the height-one prime ideals of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ are exactly given as follows:*

$$\{(z_1), (z_2), \cdots, (z_n)\}.$$

Proof: First of all, by **Lemma 3.2** in [1], each two-sided ideal (z_i) of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ generated by the normal element z_i is a completely prime ideal. Note that the proof of **Lemma 3.2** in [1] does not need the extra assumption that $\mathbb{K}$ is an algebraically closed field. In addition, by **Corollary 4.1.12** in [27], the height of the prime ideal (z_i) is at most one for $i = 1, \cdots, n$. Since $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ is a domain, the zero ideal (0) is a prime ideal of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$. Thus, the height of (z_i) is indeed 1 for $i = 1, \cdots, n$.

Since none of the parameters q_i is a root of unity, the localization $(\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}))_{\mathcal{Z}}$ is a simple algebra. Thus each non-zero prime ideal P

of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ has to meet with the Ore set $\mathcal{Z}$. Suppose that we have $z_1^{a_1}\cdots z_n^{a_n} \in P$. Thus we have the inclusion: $(z_1^{a_1}\cdots z_n^{a_n}) \subseteq P$. Since each z_i is a normal element, we have that $z_j \in P$ for some j. As a result, we have the inclusion: $(z_j) \subseteq P$ for some j. If P is of height one, then we have that $P = (z_j)$ for some j. Therefore, we have completed the proof. □

Corollary 1.1. *If none of q_i is a root of unity. Then the algebra $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ has a trivial center $\mathbb{K}$. And the set of all normal elements of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ is given as follows:*

$$N = \{az_1^{l_1}\cdots z_n^{l_n} \mid l_1,\cdots,l_n \geq 0, a \in \mathbb{K}\}.$$

Proof: First of all, it is easy to check that each element $az_1^{i_1}\cdots z_n^{i_n}$ in the set N as given above is indeed a normal element for the algebra $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$. Conversely, let $w \neq 0$ be a normal element of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$. Then the ideal (w) of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ generated by the normal element w is a non-zero two-sided ideal of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$. Thus the localization $(w)_{\mathcal{Z}}$ of the ideal (w) with respect to the Ore set $\mathcal{Z}$ is a non-zero two-sided ideal of the localization $(\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}))_{\mathcal{Z}}$. Since the localization $(\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}))_{\mathcal{Z}}$ is a simple algebra when none of q_i is a root of unity, we have that $(w)_{\mathcal{Z}} = (\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}))_{\mathcal{Z}}$. Thus we have that $1 \in (w)_{\mathcal{Z}}$, which implies that $w'wz_1^{l_1}\cdots z_n^{l_n} = 1$ for some $w' \in \mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$. So w is invertible in the localization $(\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}))_{\mathcal{Z}}$. Note that the only invertible elements of $(\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}))_{\mathcal{Z}}$ are non-zero scalar multiples of the products of integer powers of the elements z_i. Since $w \in \mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$, we further have that $w = az_1^{l_1}\cdots z_n^{l_n}$ for some $a \in \mathbb{K}^*$ and $l_1,\cdots,l_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Let c be in the center of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$. Since any central element of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ is also a normal element of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$. Thus $c = az_1^{l_1}\cdots z_n^{l_n}$ for some $a \in \mathbb{K}^*$ and $l_1,\cdots,l_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Since c is in the center, we have

$$cy_i = y_ic$$

for $i = 1,\cdots,n$. Note that

$$cy_i = (az_1^{l_1}\cdots z_n^{l_n})y_i = q_i^{l_i}y_i(az_1^{l_1}\cdots z_n^{l_n}) = q_i^{l_i}y_ic$$

for $i = 1,\cdots,n$. Thus $q_i^{l_i} = 1$ for $i = 1,\cdots,n$. Since q_i is not a root of unity, we have $l_i = 0$ for $i = 1,\cdots,n$. Thus $c = a \in \mathbb{K}^*$. So the center of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ is the base field $\mathbb{K}$. □

The study of Zariski cancellation problem for noncommutative algebras has recently been initiated in the work of Bell and Zhang. We first recall a definition on the cancellation property from [6].

Definition 1.2. Let A be a $\mathbb{K}-$algebra.

(1) We call A cancellative if $A[t] \cong B[t]$ for some $\mathbb{K}-$algebra B implies that $A \cong B$.

(2) We call A strongly cancellative if, for any $d \geq 1$, the isomorphism $A[t_1, \cdots, t_d] \cong B[t_1, \cdots, t_d]$ for some $\mathbb{K}-$algebra B implies that $A \cong B$.

(3) We call A universally cancellative if, for any finitely generated commutative $\mathbb{K}-$algebra and domain R such that $R/I = \mathbb{K}$ for some ideal $I \subset R$ and any $\mathbb{K}-$algebra B, $A \otimes R \cong B \otimes R$ implies that $A \cong B$.

Note that if an algebra A is universally cancellative, then it is strongly cancellative; and if an algebra A is strongly cancellative, then it is cancellative.

Theorem 1.3. *If none of q_i is a root of unity, then the algebra $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ is universally cancellative. As a result, $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ is strongly cancellative and cancellative.*

Proof: If none of q_i is a root of unity, then the algebra $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ has a trivial center $\mathbb{K}$. Thus $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ is universally cancellative by **Proposition 1.3** in [6]. As a result, the algebra $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ is strongly cancellative and cancellative □

Similarly, we have the following result concerning the cancellation property for the Maltisiniotis multiparameter quantized Weyl algebra $A_n^{\overline{q},\Gamma}(\mathbb{K})$.

Theorem 1.4. *If none of q_i is a root of unity, the Maltisiniotis multiparameter quantized Weyl algebra $A_n^{\overline{q},\Gamma}(\mathbb{K})$ is universally cancellative; and thus it is strongly cancellative and cancellative.*

Proof: It can be verified in a similar fashion that the center of the Maltisiniotis multiparameter quantum Weyl algebra $A_n^{\overline{q},\Gamma}(\mathbb{K})$ is also $\mathbb{K}$ when none of the parameters q_i is a root of unity. Using **Proposition 1.3** in [6], we know that the algebra $A_n^{\overline{q},\Gamma}(\mathbb{K})$ is universally cancellative. As a result, $A_n^{\overline{q},\Gamma}(\mathbb{K})$ is strongly cancellative and cancellative. □

Recall from [25, 29] that a $\mathbb{K}-$algebra A is called $\mu-$twisted (or skew) *Calabi-Yau* of dimension d, where μ is a $\mathbb{K}-$algebra automorphism of A and $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, provided that

(1) A is homologically smooth in the sense that as a module over $A^e := A \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A^{op}$, A has a finitely generated projective resolution of finite length.
(2) $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{A^e}(A, A^e) \cong 0$ or A^μ if $i = d$.

When the above two conditions hold, the automorphism μ is called the Nakayama automorphism of A. In general, the Nakayama automorphism μ of an algebra A is unique up to an inner automorphism of A. An algebra A is called *Calabi-Yau* (or CY, for short) [15] if A is twisted Calabi-Yau and the Nakayama automorphism μ_A of A is inner .

It has been proved that any Ore extension (or skew polynomial algebra) $E = A[x; \sigma, \delta]$ is a twisted Calabi-Yau algebra of dimension $d+1$ if A is a twisted Calabi-Yau algebra of dimension d in [25], where a formula for computing the Nakayama automorphism μ of E is also established. It follows immediately that any iterated Ore extension (or iterated skew polynomial algebra) is a twisted Calabi-Yau algebra. The Nakayama automorphisms of iterated Ore extensions (or iterated skew polynomial algebras) have been further studied in [19], where a formula of computing the Nakayama automorphism for any reversible, diagonalized iterated Ore extension is developed. In particular, it is proved in [19] that the Nakayama automorphism of any symmetric CGL extension is an automorphism defined by the conjugation of a normal element. Since $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ is an iterated skew polynomial algebra, $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ is indeed a twisted Calabi-Yau algebra. We next compute the Nakayama automorphism for $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ and provide a necessary-sufficient condition for $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ to be a Calabi-Yau algebra. In addition, we will identify a normal element that defines the Nakayama automorphism μ of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$.

Theorem 1.5. *The "symmetric" multiparameter quantized Weyl algebra $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ is a twisted Calabi-Yau algebra. The Nakayama automorphism μ of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ is given as follows:*

$$\mu(x_i) = q_i x_i, \quad \mu(y_i) = q_i^{-1} y_i$$

for $i = 1, \cdots, n$. As a result, the algebra $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ is a Calabi-Yau algebra if and only if $q_i = 1$ for $i = 1, \cdots, n$. Moreover, the Nakayama automorphism μ of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ is the automorphism of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ defined by the conjugation of $z = z_1 z_2 \cdots z_n$. That is,

$$\mu(x_i) = z^{-1} x_i z, \qquad \mu(y_i) = z^{-1} y_i z$$

for $i = 1, \cdots, n$.

Proof: We prove this statement using induction on the index n. For simplicity, we will sometimes denote the algebra $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ by A instead. When $n = 1$, we have that $A = \mathcal{A}_1^{q_1}(\mathbb{K})$, the rank-one quantized Weyl algebra, which is a $\mathbb{K}-$algebra generated by x_1 and y_1 subject to the only relation: $x_1 y_1 - q_1 y_1 x_1 = 1$. In this case, we can present the algebra A as an iterated skew polynomial algebra in two different ways. First

of all, we have that

$$A = \mathbb{K}[y_1][x_1; \sigma_1, \delta_1]$$

where $\sigma_1(y_1) = q_1 y_1$ and $\delta_1(y_1) = 1$. Applying **Theorem 3.3** in [25], we have that A is a twisted Calabi-Yau algebra and its Nakayama automorphism μ is given as follows:

$$\mu(y_1) = q_1^{-1} y_1, \quad \mu(x_1) = a x_1 + b$$

for some invertible element $a \in \mathbb{K}^*$ and b in $\mathbb{K}[y_1]$. In addition, one can also present the algebra A as an iterated skew polynomial algebra as follows:

$$A = \mathbb{K}[x_1][y_1; \sigma_1', \delta_1']$$

where $\sigma_1'(x_1) = q_1^{-1} x_1$ and $\delta_1'(x_1) = -q_1^{-1}$. Thus, A is a twisted Calabi-Yau algebra with its Nakayama automorphism μ defined by:

$$\mu(x_1) = q_1 x_1, \quad \mu(y_1) = c y_1 + d$$

for some invertible element $c \in \mathbb{K}^*$ and d in $\mathbb{K}[x_1]$. Since the Nakayama automorphism of A is unique up to an inner automorphism of A and the set of invertible elements in A is the set $\mathbb{K}^*$, we have that $b = d = 0$ and $a = q_1$ and $c = q_1^{-1}$.

Suppose the statement is true for the subalgebra B of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ generated by $x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1}, y_1, \cdots, y_{n-1}$. That is, the algebra B is a twisted Calabi-Yau algebra with its Nakayama automorphism μ defined as follows:

$$\mu(x_i) = q_i x_i, \quad \mu(y_i) = q_i^{-1} y_i$$

for $i = 1, \cdots, n-1$.

Next we will present $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ as an iterated skew polynomial algebra over the base algebra B in two ways. We will denote the Nakayama automorphism of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ by μ. First of all, we can have that $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}) = B[y_n; \sigma_1, \delta_1][x_n; \sigma_2, \delta_2]$ with

$$\sigma_1(x_i) = \lambda_{in} x_i, \quad \sigma_1(y_i) = \lambda_{ni} y_i, \quad \delta_1(x_i) = \delta_1(y_i) = 0$$

for $i = 1, \cdots, n-1$ and

$$\sigma_2(y_n) = q_n y_n, \quad \delta_2(y_n) = 1$$

and

$$\sigma_2(x_i) = \lambda_{ni} x_i, \quad \sigma_2(y_i) = \lambda_{in} y_i, \quad \delta_2(x_i) = \delta_2(y_i) = 0$$

for $i = 1, \cdots, n-1$. Since $\delta_1 = 0$, by **Theorem 3.2** and **Remark 3.4** in [25], the Nakayama automorphism μ of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ is given as follow:

$$\mu(x_i) = \lambda_{ni}^{-1}\lambda_{in}^{-1} q_i x_i = q_i x_i, \quad \mu(y_i) = \lambda_{in}^{-1}\lambda_{ni}^{-1} q_i^{-1} y_i = q_i^{-1} y_i$$

for $i = 1, \cdots, n-1$ and

$$\mu(y_n) = a q_n^{-1} y_n, \quad \mu(x_n) = c x_n + d$$

for some $a, c \in \mathbb{K}^*$ and $d \in B[y_n; \sigma_1, \delta_1]$. Second of all, we can have that $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}) = B[x_n; \sigma_1', \delta_1'][y_n; \sigma_2', \delta_2']$ with

$$\sigma_1'(x_i) = \lambda_{ni} x_i, \quad \sigma_1(y_i) = \lambda_{in} y_i, \quad \delta_1'(x_i) = \delta_1'(y_i) = 0$$

for $i = 1, \cdots, n-1$ and

$$\sigma_2'(x_n) = q_n^{-1} x_n, \quad \delta_2'(x_n) = -q_n^{-1}$$

and

$$\sigma_2(x_i) = \lambda_{in} x_i, \quad \sigma_2(y_i) = \lambda_{ni} y_i, \quad \delta_2(x_i) = \delta_2(y_i) = 0$$

for $i = 1, \cdots, n-1$. Therefore, the Nakayama automorphism of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ is given as follows:

$$\mu(x_i) = \lambda_{in}^{-1}\lambda_{ni}^{-1} q_i x_i = q_i x_i, \quad \mu(y_i) = \lambda_{ni}^{-1}\lambda_{in}^{-1} q_i^{-1} y_i = q_i^{-1} y_i$$

for $i = 1, \cdots, n-1$ and

$$\mu(x_n) = a' q_n x_n, \quad \mu(y_n) = c' y_n + d'$$

for some $a', c' \in \mathbb{K}^*$ and $d' \in B[x_n; \sigma_1', \delta_1']$. Note that the subalgebra $B[y_n; \sigma_1, \delta_1]$ of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ can also be constructed as an iterated skew polynomial algebra from the base field $\mathbb{K}$ as follows:

$$B[y_n; \sigma_1, \delta_1] = \mathbb{K}[y_n][x_1; \sigma_2''', \delta_2'''][y_1; \sigma_3''', \delta_3'''] \cdots [y_{n-1}; \sigma_{2n-1}''', \delta_{2n-1}'''].$$

Using **Theorem 3.2** in [25] repeatedly, we have $\mu(y_n) = q_n^{-1} y_n + e$ for some $e \in B[y_n; \sigma_1, \delta_1]$. Similarly, we can prove that $\mu(x_n) = q_n x_n + f$ for some $f \in B[x_n; \sigma_1', \delta_1']$. Comparing the various expressions of the Nakayama automorphism μ for the algebra $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$, we have that $d = d' = e = f = 0$ and $a' q_n = c = q_n$ and $c' = a q_n^{-1} = q_n^{-1}$. Thus the Nakayama automorphism μ of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ is given as follows:

$$\mu(x_i) = q_i x_i, \quad \mu(y_i) = q_i^{-1} y_i$$

for $i = 1, \cdots, n$. Therefore, $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ is Calabi-Yau if and only if $q_i = 1$ for $i = 1, \cdots, n$.

It is straightforward to check that μ is indeed defined by the conjugation of $z = z_1 \cdots z_n$. So we have completed the proof. □

We can determine the Nakayama automorphism μ for the multiparameter Maltsiniotis quantized Weyl algebra $A_n^{\overline{q},\Gamma}(\mathbb{K})$ in a similar fashion. In particular, we have the following result.

Theorem 1.6. *The Maltsiniotis multiparameter quantized algebra $A_n^{\overline{q},\Gamma}(\mathbb{K})$ is a twisted Calabi-Yau algebra with a Nakayama automorphism μ defined as follows:*

$$\mu(x_i) = q_i^{n+1-i} x_i, \quad \mu(y_i) = q_i^{-n-1+i} y_i$$

for $i = 1, \cdots, n$. As a result, the algebra $A_n^{\overline{q},\Gamma}(\mathbb{K})$ is a Calabi-Yau algebra if and only if each q_i is an $(n+1-i)$-th root of unity. In

particular, the Nakayama automorphism μ of $A_n^{\overline{q},\Gamma}(\mathbb{K})$ is the automorphism of $A_n^{\overline{q},\Gamma}(\mathbb{K})$ defined by the conjugation of the normal element $z = (x_1y_1 - y_1x_1)\cdots(x_ny_n - y_nx_n)$ as follows:

$$\mu(x_i) = z^{-1}x_iz, \qquad \mu(y_i) = z^{-1}y_iz$$

for $i = 1, \cdots, n$.

Proof: The proof is similar to the one for **Theorem 1.5**, and we will not repeat the details here. □

Remark 1.1. The Nakayama automorphism μ of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ (or $A_n^{\overline{q},\Gamma}(\mathbb{K})$) is completely determined by the parameters $q_1, \cdots, q_n$, and it is independent of the rest parameters λ_{ij} (or γ_{ij}).

Remark 1.2. One can also present the algebra $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ (or $A_n^{\overline{q},\Gamma}(\mathbb{K})$) as a reversible, diagonalized iterated Ore extension and then apply the formula as developed in [19] to compute the Nakayama automorphism of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ (or $A_n^{\overline{q},\Gamma}(\mathbb{K})$). Instead, we have closely followed the original idea as established in [25] for the purpose of providing more details.

□

2. Automorphisms and Isomorphisms for "Symmetric" Quantized Weyl Algebras

In this section, we determine the automorphism groups for $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ and $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}[t])$ and classify the algebras $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ and $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}[t])$ up to algebra isomorphisms in the case where none of $q_1, \cdots, q_n$ is a root of unity. Note that we will not put any condition on the rest parameters λ_{ij}. We have some similar results on the automorphisms and isomorphisms for $A_n^{\overline{q},\Gamma}(\mathbb{K}[t])$.

First of all, we establish a useful lemma, which is similar to the ones used in [32] and [16]. We will further set $z_0 = 1$.

Lemma 2.1. *For any $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $a, b \in \mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})\backslash\mathbb{K}$ such that*

$$ab = a_0z_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_j z_j$$

with $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{K}$ and $\alpha_i \neq 0$. Then there exist $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{K}^*$ such that

$$a = \lambda y_i, \qquad b = \mu x_i$$

or

$$a = \lambda x_i, \qquad b = \mu y_i.$$

Proof: For any $1 \leq i \leq n$, we can regard the algebra $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ as a $\mathbb{N}-$filtered algebra by assigning the degrees to its generators as follows:

$$\deg(x_i) = 1 = \deg(y_i), \quad \deg(x_j) = \deg(y_j) = 0$$

for all $j \neq i$. Note that the above assignment of degrees is compatible with the defining relations of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$, and the degree of any element in $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ is defined to be its degree when considered as an element in the corresponding graded algebra. Since $q_k \neq 1$ for $k = 1, \cdots, n$, we have that $\deg(z_j) = 0$ for $j \neq i$ and $\deg(z_i) = 2$. Since $ab = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \alpha_j z_j$ with $\alpha_i \neq 0$, we have that $\deg(ab) = 2$. Due to the degree consideration, we have the following three possibilities:

$$\deg(a) = 2, \quad \deg(b) = 0;$$

or

$$\deg(a) = 0, \quad \deg(b) = 2;$$

or

$$\deg(a) = \deg(b) = 1.$$

In the first two cases, we have that $a = fx_i^2 + gx_iy_i + hy_i^2$ or $b = fx_i^2 + gx_iy_i + hy_i^2$ for some elements $f, g \in \mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ whose terms do not involve any positive powers of x_i and y_i at all. As a result, we should have that either b or a is a scalar. This cannot happen. Thus only the third case is possible. That is, we have

$$a = fx_i + gy_i, \quad b = f'x_i + g'y_i$$

for some $f, g, f', g' \in \mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ whose terms do not involve any positive powers of x_i and y_i. It is easy to see that we have

$$ff' = 0, \quad gg' = 0.$$

Suppose that $f = 0$, then $g \neq 0$, which implies that $g' = 0$. Since $b \neq 0$, we have that $f' \neq 0$. So we have

$$a = gy_i, \quad b = f'x_i.$$

Note that ab is a $\mathbb{K}-$linear combination of $z_1, \cdots, z_n$, where $z_j = x_jy_j - y_jx_j$ for $j = 0, \cdots, n$. Thus we have that both g and f' are in $\mathbb{K}^*$. Moreover, we have

$$a = \lambda y_i, \quad b = \mu x_i.$$

If $f' = 0$, then we have that $g' \neq 0$. Thus we have that $g = 0$. So we have

$$a = fx_i, \quad b = g'y_i.$$

As a result, we have the following:

$$a = \lambda x_i, \quad b = \mu y_i$$

for some $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{K}^*$. So we have completed the proof. □

Lemma 2.2. *Let φ be a $\mathbb{K}-$algebra automorphism for $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$. Then for each $i = 1, \cdots, n$, we have that $\varphi(z_i) = (z_j)$ for some j. In particular, we have that*

$$\varphi(x_i) = \alpha x_j, \quad \varphi(y_i) = \beta y_j$$

or

$$\varphi(x_i) = \alpha y_j, \quad \varphi(y_i) = \beta x_j$$

for some j and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{K}^*$.

Proof: Since φ is a $\mathbb{K}-$algebra automorphism of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$, it permutes all the height-one prime ideals of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$. As a result, we have

$$\varphi((z_i)) = (z_j)$$

for some j. Thus $\varphi(z_i) = fz_j$ for some $f \in \mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$. Conversely, we have that $\phi^{-1}((z_j)) = (z_i)$. Thus $\varphi^{-1}(z_j) = gz_i$ for some $g \in \mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$. As a result, we have the following

$$z_i = \varphi^{-1}(f)gz_i$$

which implies that $\varphi^{-1}(f)g = 1$. Since the only invertible elements of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ are in $\mathbb{K}^*$, we have that $\phi^{-1}(f) \in \mathbb{K}^*$ and $g \in \mathbb{K}^*$. Thus we have that $\varphi(z_i) = \lambda z_j$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}^*$. Since $x_i y_i = \frac{q_i z_i - 1}{q_i - 1}$, we have the following

$$\varphi(x_i)\varphi(y_i) = \frac{q_i \lambda z_j - 1}{q_i - 1} = \frac{q_i \lambda}{q_i - 1} z_j - \frac{1}{q_i - 1}.$$

By **Lemma 2.1**, we have either $\sigma(x_i) = \alpha x_j$ and $\varphi(y_i) = \beta y_j$, or $\varphi(x_i) = \alpha y_j$ and $\varphi(y_i) = \beta x_j$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{K}^*$ and $j \in \{1, \cdots, n\}$. □

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}))$. Then there exist a permutation σ of the set $\{1, \cdots, n\}$ and $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{K}^*$ for $i = 1, \cdots, n$ such that*

$$\varphi(x_i) = \alpha_i x_{\sigma(i)}, \quad \varphi(y_i) = \alpha_i^{-1} y_{\sigma(i)}, \quad q_i = q_{\sigma(i)},$$

or

$$\varphi(x_i) = \alpha_i y_{\sigma(i)}, \qquad \varphi(y_i) = -q_i^{-1}\alpha_i^{-1} x_{\sigma(i)}, \quad q_i = q_{\sigma(i)}^{-1}.$$

Proof: Since φ is a $\mathbb{K}-$algebra automorphism of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$, we have that $\varphi(x_i) = \alpha x_i$ and $\varphi(y_i) = \beta y_i$ or $\varphi(x_i) = \alpha y_j$ and $\varphi(y_i) = \beta x_j$ for some $j \in \{1, \cdots, n\}$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{K}^*$. Suppose that $\varphi(x_i) = \alpha x_j, \varphi(y_i) = \beta y_j$. Then we have

$$\alpha\beta(x_j y_j - q_i y_j x_j) = 1, \quad \alpha\beta(x_j y_j - q_j y_j x_j) = \alpha\beta.$$

As a result, we have that $\alpha\beta(q_j-q_i)y_jx_j=(1-\alpha\beta)$, which implies that $\alpha\beta=1$, and $q_i=q_j$. So we have that $\beta=\alpha^{-1}$ and $q_i=q_j$. Suppose that $\varphi(x_i)=\alpha y_j$ and $\varphi(y_i)=\beta x_j$. Then we have the following

$$\alpha\beta(y_jx_j-q_ix_jy_j)=1,\quad \alpha\beta(x_jy_j-q_jy_jx_j)=\alpha\beta.$$

As a result, we have that $\alpha\beta(1-q_iq_j)y_jx_j=(1+q_i\alpha\beta)$, which implies that $q_i=q_j^{-1}$ and $\beta=-q_i^{-1}\alpha^{-1}$. In either case, we can define a permutation σ of $\{1,\cdots,n\}$ by $\sigma(i)=j$. So the result has been proved. □

The following two corollaries follow directly from **Theorem 2.1** and we state them here without proofs.

Corollary 2.1. *If $q_i\neq q_j^{\pm1}$ for $i\neq j$, then $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}))\cong(\mathbb{K}^*)^n$.*

□

Corollary 2.2. *If $q_i=q_j$ for all i,j, then $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}))\cong G\ltimes(\mathbb{K}^*)^n$ where G is a finite subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}))$ consisting of the automorphisms $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ defined by the permutations of $\{1,\cdots,n\}$, which are compatible with the defining relations of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$.*

□

Next we are going to give a more explicit description for the automorphism group of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\varphi\colon\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})\longrightarrow\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ be a $\mathbb{K}-$algebra automorphism of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$. Then there exist a partition $\{1,2,\cdots,n\}=P_1\cup P_2$ and a permutation $\sigma\colon\{1,2,\cdots,n\}\longrightarrow\{1,2,\cdots,n\}$ such that*

(1) *for any $i,j\in P_1$, we have*

$$\lambda_{ij}=\lambda_{\sigma(i)\sigma(j)},\qquad q_i=q_{\sigma(i)},\qquad q_j=q_{\sigma(j)}.$$

(2) *for $i\in P_1,j\in P_2$, we have*

$$\lambda_{ij}=\lambda^{-1}_{\sigma(i)\sigma(j)},\qquad q_i=q_{\sigma(i)},\qquad q_j=q^{-1}_{\sigma(j)}.$$

(3) *for $i,j\in P_2$, we have*

$$\lambda_{ij}=\lambda_{\sigma(i)\sigma(j)},\qquad q_i=q^{-1}_{\sigma(i)},\qquad q_j=q^{-1}_{\sigma(j)}.$$

In particular, the automorphism φ is defined as follows:

$$\varphi(x_i)=\alpha_ix_{\sigma(i)},\qquad \varphi(y_i)=\alpha_i^{-1}y_{\sigma(i)},\quad \forall i\in P_1;$$
$$\varphi(x_j)=\alpha_jy_{\sigma(j)},\qquad \varphi(y_j)=-q_i^{-1}\alpha_j^{-1}x_{\sigma(j)},\quad \forall j\in P_2.$$

Conversely, for any given partition $P_1\cup P_2$ of $\{1,\cdots,n\}$ and any permutation σ of $\{1,2,\cdots,n\}$ satisfying the above conditions, we can

define a $\mathbb{K}-$algebra automorphism φ of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ as follows:

$$\varphi(x_i) = \alpha_i x_{\sigma(i)}, \qquad \varphi(y_i) = \alpha_i^{-1} y_{\sigma(i)}, \quad \forall i \in P_1;$$
$$\varphi(x_j) = \alpha_j y_{\sigma(j)}, \qquad \varphi(y_j) = -q_j^{-1}\alpha_j^{-1} x_{\sigma(j)}, \quad \forall j \in P_2.$$

Proof: Let φ be a $\mathbb{K}-$algebra automorphism of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ and σ be the corresponding permutation of $\{1, \cdots, n\}$ associated to φ. Let us set

$$P_1 = \{i \in \{1, \cdots, n\} | \varphi(x_i) = \alpha_i x_{\sigma(i)}, \varphi(y_i) = \alpha_i^{-1} y_{\sigma(i)}\}$$

and

$$P_2 = \{i \in \{1, \cdots, n\} | \varphi(x_i) = \alpha_i y_{\sigma(i)}, \varphi(y_i) = -q_i^{-1}\alpha_i^{-1} x_{\sigma(i)}\}.$$

Then $P_1 \cup P_2 = \{1, \cdots, n\}$ is a partition of the set $\{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$.

Let $i, j \in P_1$, then we have the following:

$$q_i = q_{\sigma(i)}, \quad q_j = q_{\sigma(j)}$$

and

$$\varphi(x_i) = \alpha_i x_{\sigma(i)}, \quad \varphi(y_i) = \alpha_i^{-1} y_{\sigma(i)}$$

and

$$\varphi(x_j) = \alpha_j x_{\sigma(j)}, \quad \varphi(y_j) = \alpha_j^{-1} y_{\sigma(j)}.$$

From the definition of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$, we have the following commuting relations among the generators x_i, x_j, y_i, and y_j:

$$x_i x_j = \lambda_{ij} x_j x_i, \quad y_i y_j = \lambda_{ij} y_j y_i,$$
$$x_i y_j = \lambda_{ji} y_j x_i, \quad y_i x_j = \lambda_{ji} x_j y_i.$$

Applying the automorphism φ to both sides of the above equations, we will have the following:

$$x_{\sigma(i)} x_{\sigma(j)} = \lambda_{ij} x_{\sigma(j)} x_{\sigma(i)}, \quad y_{\sigma(i)} y_{\sigma(j)} = \lambda_{ij} y_{\sigma(j)} y_{\sigma(i)},$$
$$x_{\sigma(i)} y_{\sigma(j)} = \lambda_{ji} y_{\sigma(j)} x_{\sigma(i)}, \quad y_{\sigma(i)} x_{\sigma(j)} = \lambda_{ji} x_{\sigma(j)} y_{\sigma(i)}.$$

By the definition of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$, we also have the following:

$$x_{\sigma(i)} x_{\sigma(j)} = \lambda_{\sigma(i)\sigma(j)} x_{\sigma(j)} x_{\sigma(i)}, \quad y_{\sigma(i)} y_{\sigma(j)} = \lambda_{\sigma(i)\sigma(j)} y_{\sigma(j)} y_{\sigma(i)},$$
$$x_{\sigma(i)} y_{\sigma(j)} = \lambda_{\sigma(j)\sigma(i)} y_{\sigma(j)} x_{\sigma(i)}, \quad y_{\sigma(i)} x_{\sigma(j)} = \lambda_{\sigma(j)\sigma(i)} x_{\sigma(j)} y_{\sigma(i)}.$$

Therefore, we have $\lambda_{ij} = \lambda_{\sigma(i)\sigma(j)}$ and $q_i = q_{\sigma(i)}$ and $q_j = q_{\sigma(j)}$ for any $i, j \in P_1$.

Let $i \in P_1$ and $j \in P_2$, then we have the following:

$$q_i = q_{\sigma(i)}, \quad q_j = q_{\sigma(j)}^{-1}$$

and

$$\varphi(x_i) = \alpha_i x_{\sigma(i)}, \varphi(y_i) = \alpha_i^{-1} y_{\sigma(i)}$$

and

$$\varphi(x_j) = \alpha_j y_{\sigma(j)}, \quad \varphi(y_j) = -q_i^{-1}\alpha_j^{-1} x_{\sigma(j)}.$$

Applying the automorphism φ to the following equations:

$$x_i x_j = \lambda_{ij} x_j x_i, \quad y_i y_j = \lambda_{ij} y_j y_i,$$
$$x_i y_j = \lambda_{ji} y_j x_i, \quad y_i x_j = \lambda_{ji} x_j y_i,$$

we have the following equations:

$$x_{\sigma(i)} y_{\sigma(j)} = \lambda_{ij} y_{\sigma(j)} x_{\sigma(i)}, \quad y_{\sigma(i)} x_{\sigma(j)} = \lambda_{ij} x_{\sigma(j)} y_{\sigma(i)},$$
$$x_{\sigma(i)} x_{\sigma(j)} = \lambda_{ji} x_{\sigma(j)} x_{\sigma(i)}, \quad y_{\sigma(i)} y_{\sigma(j)} = \lambda_{ji} y_{\sigma(j)} y_{\sigma(i)}.$$

From the definition of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$, we also have the following:

$$x_{\sigma(i)} y_{\sigma(j)} = \lambda_{\sigma(j)\sigma(i)} y_{\sigma(j)} x_{\sigma(i)}, \quad y_{\sigma(i)} x_{\sigma(j)} = \lambda_{\sigma(j)\sigma(i)} x_{\sigma(j)} y_{\sigma(i)},$$
$$x_{\sigma(i)} x_{\sigma(j)} = \lambda_{\sigma(i)\sigma(j)} x_{\sigma(j)} x_{\sigma(i)}, \quad y_{\sigma(i)} y_{\sigma(j)} = \lambda_{\sigma(i)\sigma(j)} y_{\sigma(j)} y_{\sigma(i)}.$$

As a result, we have that $\lambda_{ij} = \lambda_{\sigma(j)\sigma(i)} = \lambda^{-1}_{\sigma(i)\sigma(j)}$ for $i \in P_1$ and $j \in P_2$.

Let $i, j \in P_2$, then we have the following:

$$q_i = q^{-1}_{\sigma(i)}, \quad q_j = q^{-1}_{\sigma(j)}$$

and

$$\varphi(x_i) = \alpha_i y_{\sigma(i)}, \quad \varphi(y_i) = -q_i^{-1}\alpha_i^{-1} x_{\sigma(i)}$$

and

$$\varphi(x_j) = \alpha_j y_{\sigma(j)}, \quad \varphi(y_j) = -q_j^{-1}\alpha_j^{-1} x_{\sigma(j)}.$$

Apply the automorphism φ to both sides of the following equations:

$$x_i x_j = \lambda_{ij} x_j x_i, \quad y_i y_j = \lambda_{ij} y_j y_i,$$
$$x_i y_j = \lambda_{ji} y_j x_i, \quad y_i x_j = \lambda_{ji} x_j y_i,$$

and we have the following:

$$y_{\sigma(i)} y_{\sigma(j)} = \lambda_{ij} y_{\sigma(j)} y_{\sigma(i)}, \quad x_{\sigma(i)} x_{\sigma(j)} = \lambda_{ij} x_{\sigma(j)} x_{\sigma(i)},$$
$$y_{\sigma(i)} x_{\sigma(j)} = \lambda_{ji} x_{\sigma(j)} x_{\sigma(i)}, \quad x_{\sigma(i)} y_{\sigma(j)} = \lambda_{ji} y_{\sigma(j)} x_{\sigma(i)}.$$

Additionally, we have the following:

$$y_{\sigma(i)} y_{\sigma(j)} = \lambda_{\sigma(i)\sigma(j)} y_{\sigma(j)} y_{\sigma(i)}, \quad x_{\sigma(i)} x_{\sigma(j)} = \lambda_{\sigma(i)\sigma(j)} x_{\sigma(j)} x_{\sigma(i)},$$
$$y_{\sigma(i)} x_{\sigma(j)} = \lambda_{\sigma(j)\sigma(i)} x_{\sigma(j)} y_{\sigma(i)}, \quad x_{\sigma(i)} y_{\sigma(j)} = \lambda_{\sigma(j)\sigma(i)} y_{\sigma(j)} x_{\sigma(i)}.$$

After comparing these equations, we have that $\lambda_{ij} = \lambda_{\sigma(i)\sigma(j)}$ for $i, j \in P_2$.

Conversely, it is straightforward to verify that a partition $P_1 \cup P_2$ of $\{1, \cdots, n\}$ and a permutation σ of $\{1, \cdots, n\}$ satisfying the conditions can define an algebra automorphism φ of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$. We will not state the details here. □

Next, we solve the isomorphism problem for the family of "symmetric" multiparameter quantized Weyl algebras. Given two data sets $(n, \overline{q}, \Lambda)$ and $(m, \overline{q}', \Lambda')$, we can define two "symmetric" multiparameter quantized Weyl algebras $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ and $\mathcal{A}_m^{\overline{q}',\Lambda'}(\mathbb{K})$. We have the following result.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ and $\mathcal{A}_m^{\overline{q}',\Lambda'}(\mathbb{K})$ be two "symmetric" multiparameter quantized Weyl algebras generated by $x_1, y_1, \cdots, x_n, y_n$ and $x_1', y_1', \cdots, x_m', y_m'$ respectively. Assume that none of the parameters q_i and q_i' is a root of unity, then $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}_m^{\overline{q}',\Lambda'}(\mathbb{K})$ as a $\mathbb{K}-$algebra if and only if $m = n$ and there exist a partition $P_1 \cup P_2$ of $\{1, \cdots, n\}$ and a permutation σ of $\{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$ such that*

1. *for $i, j \in P_1$, we have $q_i = q'_{\sigma(i)}, q_j = q'_{\sigma(j)}, \lambda_{ij} = \lambda'_{\sigma(i)\sigma(j)}$.*
2. *for $i \in P_1$ and $j \in P_2$, we have $q_i = q'_{\sigma(i)}, q_j^{-1} = q'_{\sigma(j)}, \lambda_{ij} = \lambda'_{\sigma(j)\sigma(i)}$.*
3. *for $i, j \in P_2$, we have $q_i^{-1} = q'_{\sigma(i)}, q_j^{-1} = q'_{\sigma(j)}, \lambda_{ij} = \lambda'_{\sigma(i)\sigma(j)}$.*

In particular, the corresponding isomorphism $\varphi\colon \mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_m^{\overline{q}',\Lambda'}(\mathbb{K})$ is defined as follows:

$$\varphi(x_i) = \alpha_i x'_{\sigma(i)}, \quad \varphi(y_i) = \alpha_i^{-1} y'_{\sigma(i)}$$

for $i \in P_1$ and

$$\varphi(x_i) = \alpha_i y'_{\sigma(i)}, \quad \varphi(y_i) = -q_i^{-1}\alpha_i^{-1} x'_{\sigma(i)}$$

for $i \in P_2$.

Proof: First of all, the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ is $2n$ and the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of $\mathcal{A}_m^{\overline{q}',\Lambda'}(\mathbb{K})$ is $2m$. If $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}_m^{\overline{q}',\Lambda'}(\mathbb{K})$ as a $\mathbb{K}-$algebra, then they have the same Gelfand-Kirillov dimension. Thus we have $m = n$. The rest of the proof is to mimic the ones for **Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2** word in word. We will not repeat the details here. □

Let A be any $\mathbb{K}-$algebra. A $\mathbb{K}-$algebra automorphism h of the polynomial extension $A[t] = A \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[t]$ is said to be *triangular* if there are a $g \in \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(A)$ and $c \in \mathbb{K}^*$ and r in the center of A such that

$$h(w) = ct + r$$

and

$$h(a) = g(a) \in A$$

for any $a \in A$. For more details, we refer the reader to [8].

Denote by $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}[t]) = \mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[t]$ and $\mathcal{A}_m^{\overline{q}',\Lambda'}(\mathbb{K}[t]) = \mathcal{A}_m^{\overline{q}',\Lambda'}(\mathbb{K}) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[t]$. Then we have the following result.

Theorem 2.4. *Let φ: $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}[t]) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}[t])$ be a $\mathbb{K}-$algebra automorphism of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}[t])$. Then there exist a partition $P_1 \cup P_2$ of $\{1, \cdots, n\}$ and a permutation σ of $\{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$ such that*

(1) *for $i, j \in P_1$, we have $q_i = q'_{\sigma(i)}, q_j = q'_{\sigma(j)}, \lambda_{ij} = \lambda'_{\sigma(i)\sigma(j)}$.*
(2) *for $i \in P_1$ and $j \in P_2$, we have $q_i = q'_{\sigma(i)}, q_j^{-1} = q'_{\sigma(j)}, \lambda_{ij} = \lambda'_{\sigma(j)\sigma(i)}$.*
(3) *for $i, j \in P_2$, we have $q_i^{-1} = q'_{\sigma(i)}, q_j^{-1} = q'_{\sigma(j)}, \lambda_{ij} = \lambda'_{\sigma(i)\sigma(j)}$.*

In particular, φ is defined as follows:

$$\varphi(x_i) = \alpha_i x_{\sigma(i)}, \quad \varphi(y_i) = \alpha_i^{-1} y_{\sigma(i)}$$

for $i \in P_1$ and

$$\varphi(x_i) = \alpha_i y_{\sigma(i)}, \quad \varphi(y_i) = -q_i^{-1}\alpha_i^{-1} x_{\sigma(i)}$$

for $i \in P_2$ and

$$\varphi(t) = at + b$$

for some $\alpha_i, a \in \mathbb{K}^*$ and $b \in \mathbb{K}$.

Proof: Note that the localization of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}[t])$ with respect to the Ore set $\mathbb{K}[t] - \{0\}$ is isomorphic to the algebra $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}(t))$, which is a "symmetric" multiparameter quantized Weyl algebra over the base field $\mathbb{K}(t)$. Since none of q_i is a root of unity, $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}(t))$ is a simple $\mathbb{K}(t)-$algebra. Thus the center of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}[t])$ is $\mathbb{K}[t]$. Since the center of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}[t])$ is preserved by φ, we can restrict φ to a $\mathbb{K}-$algebra automorphism of $\mathbb{K}[t]$. Thus we have $\varphi(t) = at + b$ for some $a \in K^*$ and $b \in K$.

Let us denote the restriction of φ to $\mathbb{K}[t]$ by φ_0. Note that the inverse of φ_0 can be extended to a $\mathbb{K}-$algebra ψ of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}[t])$ as follows:

$$\psi(t) = \varphi_0^{-1}(t), \quad \psi(w) = w$$

for any $w \in \mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$. Now the composition $\psi \circ \varphi$ is actually a $\mathbb{K}[t]-$algebra automorphism of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}[t])$. Thus we can extend $\psi \circ \varphi$ to a $\mathbb{K}(t)-$algebra automorphism of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}(t))$ and we still denote the extension by $\psi \circ \varphi$. By **Theorem 2.2**, there exist a partition $P_1 \cup P_2$ of $\{1, \cdots, n\}$ and a permutation σ of $\{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$ such that

$$\psi \circ \varphi(x_i) = \alpha_i x_{\sigma(i)}, \quad \psi \circ \varphi(y_i) = \alpha_i^{-1} y_{\sigma(i)}$$

with $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{K}(t)^*$ for $i \in P_1$ and

$$\psi \circ \varphi(x_i) = \alpha_i y_{\sigma(i)}, \quad \psi \circ \varphi(y_i) = -q_i^{-1}\alpha_i^{-1} x_{\sigma(i)}$$

with $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{K}(t)^*$ for $i \in P_2$. Since $\psi \circ \varphi(x_i) \in \mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$, we have that $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{K}^*$. Thus, we are done with the proof. □

Theorem 2.5. *Any $\mathbb{K}-$algebra automorphism of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}[t])$ is triangular. In particular, we have the following:*

$$\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})[t]) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})) & \mathbb{K} \\ 0 & \mathbb{K}^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

As a result, if $\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{K}$, then we have $\mathrm{LNDer}(\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})) = \{0\}$*, where* $\mathrm{LNDer}(\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}))$ *is the set of all locally nilpotent derivations of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$.*

Proof: By **Theorem 2.5**, every $\mathbb{K}-$algebra automorphism φ of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}[t])$ can be restricted to $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ and $\varphi(t) = at + b$ for some $a \in \mathbb{K}^*$ and $b \in K$. Thus φ is triangular. As a result, we have the following:

$$\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}[t])) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})) & \mathbb{K} \\ 0 & \mathbb{K}^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let ∂ be a locally nilpotent derivation of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$. Since $\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{K}$, we can define a $\mathbb{K}(t)-$algebra automorphism φ of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}(t))$ as follows:

$$\varphi(t) = t, \quad \varphi(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^i}{i!}\partial^i(x)$$

for any $x \in \mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$. If $\partial \neq 0$, then $\partial(x_i) \neq 0$ for some i or $\partial(y_j) \neq 0$ for some j. Then we have

$$\varphi(x_i) = x_i + t\partial(x_i) + \text{other terms involving higher powers of } t$$

or

$$\varphi(y_j) = y_j + t\partial(y_j) + \text{other terms involving higher powers of } t.$$

This is a contradiction to the description of the $\mathbb{K}(t)-$automorphisms of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}(t))$. Therefore, we have $\partial = 0$. So we have completed the proof. □

Theorem 2.6. *The algebra $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}[t])$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}_m^{\overline{q}',\Lambda'}(\mathbb{K}[t])$ if and only if $m = n$, and there exist a partition $P_1 \cup P_2$ of $\{1, \cdots, n\}$ and a permutation σ of $\{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$ such that*

1. *for $i, j \in P_1$, we have $q_i = q'_{\sigma(i)}, q_j = q'_{\sigma(j)}, \lambda_{ij} = \lambda'_{\sigma(i)\sigma(j)}$.*
2. *for $i \in P_1$ and $j \in P_2$, we have $q_i = q'_{\sigma(i)}, q_j^{-1} = q'_{\sigma(j)}, \lambda_{ij} = \lambda'_{\sigma(j)\sigma(i)}$.*
3. *for $i, j \in P_2$, we have $q_i^{-1} = q'_{\sigma(i)}, q_j^{-1} = q'_{\sigma(j)}, \lambda_{ij} = \lambda'_{\sigma(i)\sigma(j)}$.*

In particular, the corresponding isomorphism $\varphi\colon \mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}[t]) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_m^{\overline{q}',\Lambda'}(\mathbb{K}[t])$ is defined as follows:

$$\varphi(x_i) = \alpha_i x'_{\sigma(i)}, \quad \varphi(y_i) = \alpha_i^{-1} y'_{\sigma(i)}$$

for $i \in P_1$ *and*

$$\varphi(x_i) = \alpha_i y'_{\sigma(i)}, \quad \varphi(y_i) = -q_i^{-1}\alpha_i^{-1}x'_{\sigma(i)}$$

for $i \in P_2$ *and*

$$\varphi(t) = at + b$$

for some $a \in \mathbb{K}^*$ *and* $b \in \mathbb{K}$.

Proof: Note that $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ is cancellative. Then $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}[t])$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}_m^{\overline{q}',\Lambda'}(\mathbb{K}[t])$ if and only if $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}_m^{\overline{q}',\Lambda'}(\mathbb{K})$. Now the result follows from **Theorem 2.3**.

□

Let $A_n^{\overline{q},\Gamma}(\mathbb{K})$ and $A_m^{\overline{q}',\Gamma}(\mathbb{K})$ be two Maltsiniotis multiparameter quantized Weyl algebras generated by $x_1, y_1, \cdots, x_n, y_n$ and $x'_1, y'_1, \cdots, x'_m, y'_m$ respectively. Let us set $A_n^{\overline{q},\Gamma}(\mathbb{K}[t]) = A_n^{\overline{q},\Gamma}(\mathbb{K}) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[t]$ and $A_m^{\overline{q}',\Gamma'}(\mathbb{K}[t]) = A_m^{\overline{q}',\Gamma}(\mathbb{K}) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[t]$. We have the following result for the automorphism group of the polynomial extension of the Maltsiniotis multiparameter quantized Weyl algebra.

Theorem 2.7. *Assume that none of* $q_i, i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$ *is a root of unity. Then we have the following results.*

- *If* φ *is a* $\mathbb{K}-$*algebra automorphism of* $A_n^{\overline{q},\Gamma}(\mathbb{K}[t])$*, then there exists a tuple* $(\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_n, a, b) \in (\mathbb{K}^*)^{n+1} \times \mathbb{K}$ *such that* $\varphi(t) = at + b$ *and* $\varphi(x_i) = \mu_i x_i$, $\varphi(y_i) = \mu_i^{-1} y_i$ *for each* $1 \leq i \leq n$.
- *For any* $a \in \mathbb{K}^*$ *and* $b \in \mathbb{K}$*, one can define a* $\mathbb{K}-$*algebra automorphism* $\varphi_{a,b}$ *of* $A_n^{\overline{q},\Gamma}(\mathbb{K}[t])$ *as follows:*

  $$\varphi_{a,b}(t) = at + b, \quad \varphi_{a,b}(x_i) = x_i, \quad \varphi_{a,b}(y_i) = y_i$$

  for each $1 \leq i \leq n$.
- *We have that* $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(A_n^{\overline{q},\Gamma}(\mathbb{K}[t])) \cong (\mathbb{K}^*)^n \rtimes G$ *where* G *is the subgroup of* $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(A_n^{\overline{q},\Gamma}(\mathbb{K}[t]))$ *consisting of these* $\varphi_{a,b}$.

Proof: The proof is similar to the one for **Theorem 2.4** and we will not repeat the details here. □

Moreover, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.8. *Any* $\mathbb{K}-$*algebra automorphism of* $A_n^{\overline{q},\Gamma}(\mathbb{K}[t])$ *is triangular. In particular, we have the following:*

$$\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(A_n^{\overline{q},\Gamma}(\mathbb{K}[t])) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(A_n^{\overline{q},\Gamma}(\mathbb{K})) & \mathbb{K} \\ 0 & \mathbb{K}^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

As a result, if $\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{K}$*, then we have* $\mathrm{LNDer}(A_n^{\overline{q},\Gamma}(\mathbb{K})) = \{0\}$*, where* $\mathrm{LNDer}(A_n^{\overline{q},\Gamma}(\mathbb{K}))$ *is the set of all locally nilpotent derivations of* $A_n^{\overline{q},\Gamma}(\mathbb{K})$.

Proof: The proof is the same as the one used for **Theorem 2.5**. We skip the details. □

Next, we solve the isomorphism classification problem for the family of polynomial extensions $\{\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}[t])\}$ based on a result due to Goodearl and Hartwig [18]. For convenience, we will follow the notation used in [18].

Theorem 2.9. *Assume none of $q_1, \cdots, q_n$ and $q'_1, \cdots, q'_m$ is a root of unity. Then $A_n^{\overline{q},\Gamma}(\mathbb{K}[t])$ is isomorphic to $A_m^{\overline{q}',\Gamma'}(\mathbb{K}[t])$ as a $\mathbb{K}-$algebra if and only if*

- *$m = n$;*
- *There exists a sign vector $\varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}^n$ such that we have $q'_i = q_i^{\varepsilon_i}, \forall 1 \leq i \leq n$ and*

$$\gamma'_{ij} = \begin{cases} \gamma_{ij} & if(\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j) = (1,1) \\ \gamma_{ji} & if(\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j) = (-1,1) \\ q_i^{-1}\gamma_{ji} & if(\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j) = (1,-1) \\ q_i\gamma_{ij} & if(\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j) = (-1,-1) \end{cases} \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n.$$

If the above conditions are satisfied, then for any $\mu \in (\mathbb{K}^*)^n$ and $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}^n$ and $a \in \mathbb{K}^*$ and $b \in \mathbb{K}$, one can define a unique $\mathbb{K}-$algebra isomorphism $\varphi_{\mu,\varepsilon,a,b}\colon \mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}[t]) \longrightarrow A_m^{\overline{q}',\Gamma'}(\mathbb{K}[t])$ by

$$\varphi_{\mu,\varepsilon,a,b}(t) = at + b$$

and

$$\varphi_{\mu,\varepsilon,a,b}(x_i) = \begin{cases} \mu_i x'_i, & \varepsilon_i = 1 \\ \mu_i y'_i, & \varepsilon_i = -1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\varphi_{\mu,\varepsilon,a,b}(y_i) = \begin{cases} \lambda_i \mu_i^{-1} y'_i, & \varepsilon_i = 1 \\ -\lambda_i \mu_i^{-1} x'_i, & \varepsilon_i = -1 \end{cases}$$

for each $i \in \{1, \cdots, n\}$ with $\lambda = \lambda(\varepsilon) \in (\mathbb{K}^*)^n$ recursively defined as follows:

$$\lambda_0 = 1, \quad \lambda_i = q^{(\varepsilon_i - 1)/2}\lambda_{i-1}.$$

Proof: Note that the algebra $A_n^{\overline{q},\Gamma}(\mathbb{K})$ is cancellative. Thus we have that $A_n^{\overline{q},\Gamma}(\mathbb{K}[t]) \cong A_m^{\overline{q}',\Gamma'}(\mathbb{K}[t])$ if and only if $A_n^{\overline{q},\Gamma}(\mathbb{K}) \cong A_m^{\overline{q}',\Gamma}(\mathbb{K})$. Now the result follows from **Theorem 5.1** in [18]. □

3. The Quantum Dixmier Conjecture for $(\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}))_{\mathcal{Z}}$

In this section, we prove a quantum analogue of the Dixmier conjecture for the simple localization $(\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}))_{\mathcal{Z}}$ of $\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ under the condition that $q_1^{i_1}q_2^{i_2}\cdots q_n^{i_n} = 1$ implies $i_1 = i_2 = \cdots = i_n = 0$. In particular, we will show that each $\mathbb{K}-$algebra endomorphism of $(\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}))_{\mathcal{Z}}$ is an

algebra automorphism. Furthermore, we will describe the automorphism group for $(\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}))_{\mathcal{Z}}$.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that* $q_1^{i_1}q_2^{i_2}\cdots q_n^{i_n}=1$ *implies* $i_1=i_2=\cdots=i_n=0$. *Then every* $\mathbb{K}-$*algebra endomorphism* φ *of* $(\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}))_{\mathcal{Z}}$ *is a* $\mathbb{K}-$*algebra automorphism.*

Proof: Note that any invertible element of $(\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}))_{\mathcal{Z}}$ is of the form $\alpha z_1^{a_1}\cdots z_n^{a_n}$, where $\alpha\in\mathbb{K}^*$ and $a_1,\cdots,a_n\in\mathbb{Z}$. Let φ be a $\mathbb{K}-$algebra endomorphism of $(\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}))_{\mathcal{Z}}$, then φ sends invertible elements of $(\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}))_{\mathcal{Z}}$ to its invertible elements. Therefore, for $i=1,\cdots,n$, we have the following

$$\sigma(z_i)=\lambda_i z_1^{a_{i1}}\cdots z_n^{a_{in}}$$

where $\lambda_i\in\mathbb{K}^*$ and $a_{i1},\cdots,a_{in}\in\mathbb{Z}$. Since $y_ix_i=\frac{z_i-1}{q_i-1}, x_iy_i=\frac{q_iz_i-1}{q_i-1}$ and $z_ix_i=q_i^{-1}x_iz_i, z_iy_i=q_iy_iz_i$ for $i=1,\cdots,n$, we have that $\sigma(z_i)\notin\mathbb{K}^*$ for $i=1,\cdots,n$.

Note that we can realize $(\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}))_{\mathcal{Z}}$ as a generalized Weyl algebra over the base algebra $\mathbb{K}[z_1^{\pm1},\cdots,z_n^{\pm1}]$ with the automorphisms σ_i of $\mathbb{K}[z_1^{\pm1},\cdots,z_n^{\pm1}]$ defined by $\sigma_i(z_j)=q_i^{\delta_{ij}}z_j$. Thus for $i=1,\cdots,n$, we can write the image of x_i under φ as follows:

$$\sigma(x_i)=\sum_{\overline{k}^i}f_{\overline{k}^i}x_1^{k_1^i}\cdots x_n^{k_n^i}+\sum_{\overline{l}^i}g_{\overline{l}^i}y_1^{l_1^i}\cdots y_n^{l_n^i}$$

where $\overline{k}^i=(k_1^i,\cdots,k_n^i)\in(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq0})^n$, and $\overline{l}^i=(l_1^i,\cdots,l_n^i)\in(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq0})^n$, and $f_{\overline{k}^i}\in\mathbb{K}[z_1^{\pm1},\cdots,z_n^{\pm1}]$, and $g_{\overline{l}^i}\in\mathbb{K}[z_1^{\pm1},\cdots,z_n^{\pm1}]$.

Since $z_ix_i=q_i^{-1}x_iz_i$, we have that

$$q_1^{-a_{i1}k_1^i}q_2^{-a_{i2}k_2^i}\cdots q_n^{-a_{in}k_n^i}=q_i^{-1}$$

for $f_{\overline{k}^i}\neq0$ and

$$q_1^{a_{i1}l_1^i}\cdots q_n^{a_{in}l_n^i}=q_i^{-1}$$

for $g_{\overline{l}^i}\neq0$. Since $q_1^{i_1}q_2^{i_2}\cdots q_n^{i_n}=1$ implies $i_1=\cdots=i_n=0$, we have that $a_{ii}k_i^i=1$ for $f_{\overline{k}^i}\neq0$ and $a_{ii}l_i^i=-1$ for $g_{\overline{l}}\neq0$. Since $\overline{k}^i\in(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq0})^n$ and $\overline{l}^i\in(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq0})^n$, we cannot have both $f_{\overline{k}^i}\neq0$ for some $\overline{k}^i$ and $g_{\overline{l}^i}\neq0$ for some $\overline{l}^i$ spontaneously. Thus for $i=1,\cdots,n$, we have either

$$\sigma(x_i)=\sum_{\overline{k}^i}f_{\overline{k^i}}x_1^{k_1^i}\cdots x_n^{k_n^i}$$

or

$$\sigma(x_i) = \sum_{\overline{l}^i} g_{\overline{l}^i} y_1^{l_1^i} \cdots y_n^{l_n^i}.$$

Since $z_j x_i = x_i z_j$ for $i \neq j$, we have $a_{ji} k_i^i = 0$ or $a_{ji} l_i^i = 0$ for $j \neq i$. Thus we have that $a_{ji} = 0$ for $j \neq i$ and $a_{ii} = \pm 1$. As a result, we have

$$\varphi(z_i) = \lambda_i z_i, \quad \text{or} \quad \varphi(z_i) = \lambda_i z_i^{-1}$$

for $i = 1, \cdots, n$.

Suppose that we have

$$\sigma(x_i) = \sum_{\overline{k}^i} f_{\overline{k}^i} x_1^{k_1^i} \cdots x_n^{k_n^i}.$$

Since $k_i^i \geq 0$, we have that $a_{ii} = 1$. So we can conclude that $\varphi(z_i) = \lambda_i z_i$. Since $z_i x_j = x_j z_i$ and $z_i y_j = y_j z_j$ for $i \neq j$, we have that $\varphi(x_i) = f_i x_i$, and $\varphi(y_i) = g_i y_i$ for some $f_i, g_i \in \mathbb{K}[z_1^{\pm 1}, \cdots, z_n^{\pm 1}]$. Since we have $x_i y_i = \frac{q_i z_i - 1}{q_i - 1}$, we have the following:

$$f_i x_i g_i y_i = \frac{\lambda_i q_i z_i - 1}{q_i - 1}.$$

Thus we have

$$f_i x_i g_i y_i = f_i g_i' x_i y_i = f_i g_i' \frac{q_i z_i - 1}{q_i - 1} = \frac{\lambda_i q_i z_i - 1}{q_i - 1}$$

for some $g_i' \in \mathbb{K}[z_1^{\pm 1}, \cdots, z_n^{\pm 1}]$. As a result, we have $f_i g_i' = 1$ and $\lambda_i = 1$. Note that $g_i' = \sigma_i(g_i)$. Therefore, we have that $f_i = \mu_i z_1^{b_{i1}} \cdots z_n^{b_{in}}$ and $g_i = \mu_i^{-1} q_i^{b_{ii}} z_1^{-b_{i1}} \cdots z_n^{-b_{in}}$ for some $\mu_i \in \mathbb{K}^*$ and $b_{i1}, \cdots, b_{in} \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Suppose that we have

$$\sigma(x_i) = \sum_{\overline{l}^i} g_{\overline{l}^i} y_1^{l_1^i} \cdots y_n^{l_n^i}.$$

Then we have $a_{ji} l_i^i = 0$ for $j \neq i$ and $a_{ii} l_i^i = -1$. Thus we have $a_{ji} = 0$ for $j \neq i$. Since $l_i^i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we have $a_{ii} = -1$. As a result, we have $\varphi(z_i) = \lambda_i z_i^{-1}$ for some $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{K}^*$. Since $z_j x_i = x_i z_j$ for $i \neq j$, we have that $\varphi(x_i) = g_i y_i$ and $\varphi(y_i) = f_i x_i$ for some $f_i, g_i \in \mathbb{K}[z_1^{\pm 1}, \cdots, z_n^{\pm 1}]$. Once again, since $x_i y_i = \frac{q_i z_i - 1}{q_i - 1}$, we have following:

$$g_i y_i f_i x_i = \frac{\lambda_i q_i z_i^{-1} - 1}{q_i - 1}.$$

Since $y_i x_i = \frac{z_i - 1}{q_i - 1}$, we have that

$$g_i y_i f_i x_i = g_i f_i' y_i x_i = g_i f_i' \frac{z_i - 1}{q_i - 1} = \frac{\lambda_i q_i z_i^{-1} - 1}{q_i - 1}$$

for some $f_i' \in \mathbb{K}[z_1^{\pm 1}, \cdots, z_n^{\pm 1}]$. As a result, we have $g_i f_i' z = -1$ and $\lambda_i = q_i^{-1}$. Since $f_i' = \sigma_i^{-1}(f_i)$, we have that $f_i = \mu_i z_1^{b_{i1}} \cdots z_i^{b_{ii}} \cdots z_n^{b_{in}}$ and $h_i = -\mu_i^{-1} q_i^{-b_{ii}-1} z_1^{-b_{i1}} \cdots z_i^{-b_{ii}-1} \cdots z_n^{-b_{in}}$ for some $\mu_i \in \mathbb{K}^*$ and $b_{i1}, \cdots, b_{in} \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let φ be any $\mathbb{K}-$algebra endomorphism of $(\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}))_{\mathcal{Z}}$, then for each $i = 1, \cdots, n$, we have either $\varphi(z_i) = \lambda_i z_i$ or $\varphi(z_i) = \lambda_i z_i^{-1}$ for some $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{K}^*$. We will say that a $\mathbb{K}-$algebra endomorphism φ of $(\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}))_{\mathcal{Z}}$ is of positive type if

$$\varphi(z_i) = \lambda_i z_i$$

for $i = 1, \cdots, n$. Even though φ may not be of positive type, $\varphi \circ \varphi$ is a $\mathbb{K}-$algebra endomorphism of $(\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}))_{\mathcal{Z}}$ of positive type. Next, we will show that each $\mathbb{K}-$algebra endomorphism φ of $(\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}))_{\mathcal{Z}}$ of positive type is an automorphism, which implies that any $\mathbb{K}-$algebra endomorphism φ of $(\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}))_{\mathcal{Z}}$ is an automorphism.

Let φ be a $\mathbb{K}-$algebra endomorphism of $(\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}))_{\mathcal{Z}}$ of positive type, then for each $i = 1, \cdots, n$, we have the following:

$$\varphi(x_i) = \mu_i z_1^{b_{i1}} \cdots z_n^{b_{in}} x_i, \quad \varphi(y_i) = \mu_i^{-1} q_i^{b_{ii}} z_1^{-b_{i1}} \cdots z_n^{-b_{in}} y_i, \quad \varphi(z_i) = z_i$$

for some $\mu_i \in \mathbb{K}^*$ and $b_{i1}, \cdots, b_{in} \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Note that $q_1^{i_1} \cdots q_n^{i_n} = 1$ implies that $i_1 = \cdots = i_n = 0$ and

$$x_i x_j = \lambda_{ij} x_j x_i, \quad x_i y_j = \lambda_{ji} y_j x_i, \quad y_i y_j = \lambda_{ij} y_j y_i$$

for $i \neq j$. After applying φ to both sides of the above commuting relations, we can conclude that

$$\varphi(x_i) = \mu_i z_i^{b_{ii}} x_i, \quad \varphi(y_i) = \mu_i^{-1} q_i^{b_{ii}} z_i^{-b_{ii}} y_i, \quad \varphi(z_i) = z_i$$

for $i = 1, \cdots, n$. It is obvious that φ has an inverse. Thus φ is a $\mathbb{K}-$algebra automorphism of $(\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}))_{\mathcal{Z}}$. So we have proved that every $\mathbb{K}-$algebra endomorphism of $(\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}))_{\mathcal{Z}}$ is an automorphism. □

Theorem 3.2. *Maintain the condition that $q_1^{i_1} \cdots q_n^{i_n} = 1$ implies $i_1 = \cdots = i_n = 0$ and let φ be a $\mathbb{K}-$algebra automorphism of $(\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}))_{\mathcal{Z}}$. Then there exists a partition $P_1 \cup P_2$ of the set $\{1, \cdots, n\}$ with $P_1 = \{l_1, \ldots, l_k\}$ and $P_2 = \{l_{k+1}, \cdots, k_n\}$ such that*

(1) *For any $l_i \in P_1$ and $l_j \in P_2$, we have that*

$$\varphi(x_{l_i}) = \alpha_{l_i} z_{l_{k+1}}^{a_{i(k+1)}} \cdots z_{l_n}^{a_{in}} z_{l_i}^{c_i} x_{l_i}$$

and

$$\varphi(y_{l_i}) = \beta_{l_i} z_{l_{k+1}}^{-a_{i(k+1)}} \cdots z_{l_n}^{-a_{in}} z_{l_i}^{-c_i} y_{l_i}$$

and

$$\varphi(x_{l_j}) = \alpha_{l_j} z_{l_1}^{b_{j1}} \cdots z_{l_k}^{b_{jk}} z_{l_j}^{c_j} y_{l_j}$$

and

$$\varphi(y_j) = \beta_{l_j} z_{l_1}^{-b_{j1}} \cdots z_{l_k}^{-b_{jk}} z_{l_j}^{-c_j-1} x_{l_j}$$

for some $a_{i(k+1)}, \cdots, a_{in}, b_{j1}, \cdots, b_{jk} \in \mathbb{Z}$, *and* $c_i, c_j \in \mathbb{Z}$, *and* $\alpha_{l_i}, \beta_{l_i}, \alpha_{l_j}, \beta_{l_j} \in \mathbb{K}^*$ *such that*

$$\alpha_{l_i}\beta_{l_i} = q_{l_i}^{c_i}, \quad \alpha_{l_j}\beta_{l_j} = -q_{l_j}^{-c_j-1}, \quad q_{l_i}^{b_{ji}} q_{l_j}^{a_{ij}} = \lambda_{l_i l_j}^2.$$

(2) *For any given partition of* $P_1 \cup P_2$ *of the set* $\{1, \cdots, n\}$ *satisfying the condition, let* φ *be a* $\mathbb{K}-$*linear mapping defined as above. Then* φ *can be extended to a* $\mathbb{K}-$*algebra automorphism of* $(\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}))_{\mathcal{Z}}$.

Proof: Let φ be any $\mathbb{K}-$algebra automorphism of $(\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}))_{\mathcal{Z}}$. Then for $i = 1, \cdots, n$, we have either

Case 1: $\varphi(x_i) = \mu_i z_1^{b_{i1}} \cdots z_n^{b_{in}} x_i$, and $\varphi(y_i) = \mu_i^{-1} q_i^{b_{ii}} z_1^{-b_{i1}} \cdots z_n^{-b_{in}} y_i$, and $\varphi(z_i) = z_i$; or

Case 2: $\varphi(z_i) = q_i^{-1} z_i^{-1}$, and $\varphi(x_i) = \mu_i z_1^{b_{i1}} \cdots z_i^{b_{ii}} \cdots z_n^{b_{in}} y_i$, and $\varphi(y_i) = -\mu_i^{-1} q_i^{-b_{ii}-1} z_1^{-b_{i1}} \cdots z_i^{-b_{ii}-1} \cdots z_n^{-b_{in}} x_i$.

We can set P_1 to be the set consisting of all $i \in \{1, \cdots, n\}$ such that the first case is true and P_2 to be the set consisting of all $i \in \{1, \cdots, n\}$ such that the second case is true. It is obvious that P_1 and P_2 are disjoint and $P_1 \cup P_2$ is a partition of $\{1, \cdots, n\}$. The rest is verified by applying the automorphism φ to both sides of the following relations:

$$x_i x_j = \lambda_{ij} x_j x_i, \quad x_i y_j = \lambda_{ji} y_j x_i, \quad y_i y_j = \lambda_{ij} y_j y_i$$

for any $i \neq j$.

Conversely, it is straightforward to verify that the mapping φ defined by any given partition $P_1 \cup P_2$ satisfying the condition can be extended to a $\mathbb{K}-$algebra automorphism of $(\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}))_{\mathcal{Z}}$. □

As an immediate consequence of **Theorem 3.2**, we have the following corollary on the automorphism group of $(\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}))_{\mathcal{Z}}$ in some special cases.

Corollary 3.1. *Let us further assume that* $q_i^{k_i} q_j^{k_j} = \lambda_{ij}^2$ *implies* $k_i = k_j = 0$ *for any* $i \neq j$, *then we have the following result.*

(1) *Let* φ *be a* $\mathbb{K}-$*algebra automorphism* φ *of* $(\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}))_{\mathcal{Z}}$. *For each* $i = 1, \cdots, n$, *we have* **either**

$$\varphi(x_i) = \alpha_i z_i^{c_i} x_i, \qquad \varphi(y_i) = \beta_i z_i^{-c_i} y_i$$

for some $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ *and* $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathbb{K}^*$ *such that* $\alpha_i\beta_i = q_i^{c_i}$, **or**

$$\varphi(x_i) = \alpha_i z_i^{c_i} y_i, \qquad \varphi(y_i) = \beta_i z_i^{-c_i-1} x_i$$

for some $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ *and* $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathbb{K}^*$ *such that* $\alpha_i\beta_i = -q_i^{-c_i-1}$.

(2) *Let φ be a $\mathbb{K}-$linear mapping defined as above. Then φ can be extended to a $\mathbb{K}-$algebra automorphism of $(\mathcal{A}_n^{\overline{q},\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}))_{\mathcal{Z}}$.*

□

Acknowledgements: The author would like to thank James Zhang for sharing an earlier version of the preprint [6]. Part of the results were announced during the special session on "New Developments in Noncommutative Algebra", the AMS Spring Western Sectional Meeting at University of Nevada, Las Vegas, Las Vegas, NV, April 18-19, 2015. The author would like to thank the organizers for the hospitality.

References

[1] M. Akhavizadegan and D. A. Jordan, Prime ideals of quantized Weyl algebras, *Glasgow Math. J.*, **38** (1996), no. 3, 283–297.
[2] J. Alev and F. Dumas, Rigidité des plongements des quotients primitifs minimaux de $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$ dans lalgèbre quantique de Weyl-Hayashi, *Nagoya Math. J.*, **143** (1996),119–146.
[3] E. Backelin, Endomorphisms of quantized Weyl algebras, *Lett. Math. Phys.*, **97** (2011), no. 3, 317–338.
[4] V. V. Bavula, Generalized Weyl algebras and their representations, *St. Petersburg Math. J.*, **4** (1993), no. 1, 71–92.
[5] V. V. Bavula and D. A. Jordan, Isomorphism problems and groups of automorphisms for generalized Weyl algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **353** (2001), no. 2, 769–794.
[6] J. P. Bell and J. J. Zhang, Zariski cancellation problem for noncommutative algebras, preprint, arXiv:1601.04625.
[7] A. Belov-Kanel and M. Kontsevich, The Jacobian conjecture is stably equivalent to the Dixmier conjecture, *Mosc. Math. J.*, **7** (2007), no. 2, 209–218.
[8] S. Ceken, J. H. Palmieri, Y. H. Wang and J. J. Zhang, The discriminant controls automorphism groups of noncommutative algebras, *Adv. Math.*, **269** (2015), 551–584.
[9] S. Ceken, J. H. Palmieri, Y. H. Wang and J. J. Zhang, The discriminant criterion and automorphism groups of quantized algebras, *Adv. Math.* **286** (2016), 754–801
[10] K. Chan, A. Young and J. Zhang, Discriminant formulas and applications, preprint, arXiv:1503.06327.
[11] J. Dixmier, Sur les algébres de Weyl, *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, **96** (1968), 209–242.
[12] H. Fujita, E. Kirkman and J. Kuzmanovich, Global and Krull dimensions of quantum Weyl algebras, *J. Algebra*, **216** (1999), 405–416.
[13] J. Gaddis, E. Kirkman and W. F. Moore, On the discriminant of twisted tensor products, preprint, arXiv:1606.03105.
[14] A. Giaquinto and J. J. Zhang, Quantum Weyl algebras, *J. Algebra*, **176** (1995), 861–881.
[15] V. Ginzburg, Calabi-Yau algebras, preprint, arXiv:0612139v3.

[16] J. Gomez-Torrecillas and L. EL Kaotit, The group of automorphisms of the coordinate ring of quantum symplectic space, *Beitr. Algebra Geom.*, **43** (2002), 597–601.
[17] K. R. Goodearl, Prime ideals in skew polynomial rings and quantized Weyl algebras, *J. Algebra* **150** (1992), no. 2, 324–377.
[18] K. R. Goodearl and J. T. Hartwig, The isomorphism problem for multiparameter quantized Weyl algebras, *São Paulo J. Math. Sci.*, **9** (2015), 53–61.
[19] K. R. Goodearl and M. T. Yakimov, Unipotent and Nakayama automorphisms of quantum nilpotent algebras, preprint, arXiv:1311.0278.
[20] D. A. Jordan, A simple localization of the quantized Weyl algebra, *J. Algebra*, **174** (1995), no. 1, 267–281.
[21] O. H. Keller, Ganze Cremona-Transformationen, *Monatsh. Math. Phys.*, **47** (1939), no. 1, 299–306.
[22] A. P. Kitchin and S. Launois, Endomorphisms of quantum generalized Weyl algebras, *Lett. Math. Phys.*, **104** (2014), 837–848.
[23] A. P. Kitchin and S. Launois, On the automorphisms of quantum Weyl algebras, preprint, arXiv:1511.01775.
[24] J. Levitt and M. Yakimov, Quantized Weyl algebras at roots of unity, preprint, arXiv:1606.02121.
[25] L.Y. Liu, S. Q. Wang and Q. S. Wu, Twisted Calabi-Yau property of Ore extensions, *J. Noncommut. Geom.*, **8** (2014), no. 2, 587–609.
[26] G. Maltsiniotis, Groupes quantiques et structures diffrentielles, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, **311** (1990), no. 12, 831–834.
[27] J. C. McConnell and J. C. Robson, *Noncommutative Noetherian Rings*, Wiley–Interscience, Chichester, 1987.
[28] B. Nguyen, K. Trampel and M. Yakimov, Noncommutative discriminants via Poisson primes, arXiv:1603.02585.
[29] M. Reyes, D. Rogalski, and J. J. Zhang, Skew Calabi-Yau algebras and homological identities, *Adv. Math.*, **264** (2014), 308–354.
[30] L. Richard, Sur les endomorphismes des tores quantiques, *Comm. Algebra*, **30** (2002), no. 11, 5283–5306.
[31] L. Richard and A. Solotar, Isomorphisms between quantum generalized Weyl algebras, *J. Algebra Appl.*, **5** (2006), no. 3, 271–285.
[32] L. Rigal, Spectre de l'algbébre de Weyl quantique, *Beitr. Algebra Geom.*, **37** (1996), 119–148.
[33] X. Tang, Algebra endomorphisms and derivations of some localized down-up algebras, *J. Algebra Appl.*, **14**, 1550034 (2015).
[34] Y. Tsuchimoto, Endomorphisms of Weyl algebras and p–curvature, *Osaka J. Math.*, **42(2)** (2005), 435–452.

Department of Mathematics & Computer Science, Fayetteville State University, 1200 Murchison Road, Fayetteville, NC 28301
E-mail address: xtang@uncfsu.edu